

airplanes of the same type design, the proposed AD would require inspecting the ruddervator differential tail control rod assembly for corrosion or cracks, repairing or replacing any cracked or corroded part, and applying corrosion sealant to the ruddervator control pushrods. Accomplishment of the proposed actions would be in accordance with Raytheon SB No. 2668, dated September 1996.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 10,405 airplanes in the U.S. registry would be affected by the proposed AD, that it would take approximately 4 workhours per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection and anti-corrosion sealant application, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$2,497,200. This figure is based on the assumption that none of the affected airplanes would have a corroded or cracked part in the ruddervator differential tail control rod assembly that would need to be repaired or replaced. The FAA has no way of determining how many ruddervator control push rods that would be corroded or cracked.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

Raytheon Aircraft Corporation (formerly Beech Aircraft Corporation): Docket No. 96-CE-44-AD.

Applicability: Models 35, 35R, A35, B35, C35, D35, E35, F35, G35, H35, J35, K35, M35, N35, P35, S35, V35, V35TC, V35A, V35A-TC, V35B, and V35B-TC airplanes (serial numbers D-1 through D-10403, D-15001, and D-15002), certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it. Compliance: Required within the next 100 hours time-in-service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent failure of the ruddervator differential tail control rod assembly, which could result in loss of control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Inspect the ruddervator differential tail control rod assembly for cracks and corrosion in accordance with the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of Raytheon Service Bulletin (SB) No. 2668, dated September 1996. Prior to further flight, repair or replace any corroded or cracked part as specified in and in accordance with the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of Raytheon SB No. 2668, dated September 1996.

(b) Apply anti-corrosion sealant to the ruddervator control pushrods in accordance with the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of Raytheon SB No. 2668, dated September 1996.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Wichita ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Wichita ACO.

(e) All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the document referred to herein upon request to the Raytheon Aircraft Corporation, P.O. Box 85, Wichita, Kansas 67201-0085; or may examine this document at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 10, 1996.

Marvin R. Nuss,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 96-26699 Filed 10-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

30 CFR Part 935

[OH-240-FOR, #74]

Ohio Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing.

SUMMARY: OSM is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the Ohio regulatory program (hereinafter referred to as the "Ohio program") under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The proposed amendment consists of revisions to section 1501:13-6-03 of the Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) dealing with the Small Operator Assistance Program (SOAP). The amendment is intended to revise the Ohio program to be consistent with the corresponding Federal regulations.

DATES: Written comments must be received by 4:00 p.m., [E.D.T.] November 18, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and requests to speak at the hearing should be mailed or hand delivered to George

Rieger, Field Branch Chief, at the address listed below.

Copies of the Ohio program, the proposed amendment, a listing of any scheduled public hearings, and all written comments received in response to this document will be available for public review at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Each requester may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM's Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

George Rieger, Field Branch Chief,
Appalachian Regional Coordinating
Center, Office of Surface Mining
Reclamation and Enforcement, 3
Parkway Center, Pittsburgh PA 15220,
Telephone: (412) 937-2153

Ohio Division of Mines and
Reclamation, 1855 Fountain Square
Court, Columbus, Ohio 43244,
Telephone: (614) 265-1076.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George Rieger, Field Branch Chief,
Appalachian Regional Coordinating
Center, Telephone: (412) 937-2153.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background on the Ohio Program

On August 16, 1982, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Ohio program. Background information on the Ohio program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval can be found in the August 10, 1982, Federal Register (47 CFR 34688). Subsequent actions concerning conditions of approval and program amendments can be found at 30 CFR 935.11, 935.15, and 935.16.

II. Description of the Proposed Amendment

By letter dated October 3, 1996, (Administrative Record No. OH-2170-00) Ohio submitted proposed amendments to the Ohio program concerning the SOAP. Ohio submitted the proposed amendments at its own initiative. The amendment proposes numerous changes regarding both the title of the division and gender. Throughout the amendment, references to the "division of reclamation" are changed to the "division of mines and reclamation" and references to "he" or "his" are changed to "he or she" or "his or hers", respectively. These changes are not specifically enumerated below. The substantive changes proposed by Ohio in the revised amendment are discussed briefly below:

1. OAC 1501:13-6-03 Small Operator Assistance Program

(a) Paragraph (A)(1) is amended by adding items for which qualified operators may request assistance. These include engineering analysis and designs necessary for the determination of probable hydrologic consequences added to subparagraph (A)(1)(a), and amending subparagraph (A)(1)(b) to include geologic drilling and statement of the results of physical and chemical analyses of test borings or core samples.

(b) New subparagraphs (C) (D) (E) and (F) are added to identify the development of cross-section maps and plans; the collection of archaeological information and other historical information and the preparation of plans necessitated thereby; pre-blast surveys; and the collection of site specific resource information and production of protection and enhancement plans for fish and wildlife habitats and other environmental values required by the chief, respectively, as items for which a qualified operator may request assistance.

(c) Paragraph (B) is amended by deleting subparagraphs (1) and (2) dealing with probable hydrologic consequences and results of test borings and core samplings which are added to Paragraph (A) of this amendment, and adding a statement referencing the services eligible are under paragraph (A).

(d) Paragraph (C)(2) is further amended by substituting the Department of Natural Resources as an additional reference for production figure verification instead of the division of mines and the division of reclamation. Subparagraphs (C)(2)(a) and (C)(2)(b) are amended by changing the applicant ownership limit from "more than a five percent limit" to "ten percent or more" limit.

(e) New subparagraph (D)(9) is added to require that an applicant for assistance shall also submit a general statement on the probable depth and thickness of the coal resource including a statement of reserves in the permit area and the method by which they were calculated. Subsequent subparagraphs are re-lettered accordingly.

(f) Subparagraph (D)(10) is amended by deleting the specific map scale references required for a topographic map and adding a reference to Rule 1501:13-9-04 of the OAC. New subparagraph (D)(10)(E) is added to require that such topographic maps also include any additional information required by the chief.

(g) Paragraph (F)(2) is amended by deleting the heading "specific provisions" and adding the following: "The data, analyses, and statements provided to the chief shall be sufficient to satisfy the requirements for:".

Subparagraphs (F)(2) (a) and (b) are amended to include engineering analyses and designs necessary for the probable hydrologic consequences determination, and the drilling and statement by a qualified laboratory of the result of test borings or core samplings, respectively. Subparagraph (F)(2)(b) is further amended by adding provisions for obtaining a waiver from the requirement. Subparagraph (F)(2)(c) pertaining to this waiver is deleted.

Existing subparagraph (F)(2)(d) is re-numbered as (F)(3), and existing subparagraphs (F)(2) (e) and (f) are deleted.

New subparagraphs (F)(2) (C), (D), (E), and (F) are added to identify the specific requirements that must be met for the development of cross-section maps and plans; the collection of archeological information and other historical information; pre-blast surveys; and the collection of site-specific resource information and production of protection and enhancement plans for fish and wildlife habitats and other environmental values, respectively.

III. Public Comment Procedures

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is seeking comments on whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendment is deemed adequate, it will become part of the Ohio program.

Written Comments

Written comments should be specific, pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of the commenter's recommendations. Comments received after the time indicated under **DATES** or at locations other than the Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the Administrative Record.

Public Hearing

Persons wishing to speak at the public hearing should contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by 4:00 p.m., [E.D.T.] on November 4, 1996. The location and time of the hearing will be arranged with those persons requesting the hearing. If no one requests an opportunity to speak at the public hearing, the hearing will not be held.

Filing of a written statement at the time of the hearing is requested as it will greatly assist the transcriber. Submission of written statements in advance of the hearing will allow OSM officials to prepare adequate responses and appropriate questions.

The public hearing will continue on the specified date until all persons scheduled to speak have been heard. Persons in the audience who have not been scheduled to speak, and who wish to do so, will be heard following those who have been scheduled. The hearing will end after all persons scheduled to speak and persons present in the audience who wish to speak have been heard.

Any disabled individual who has need for a special accommodation to attend a public hearing should contact the individual listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to speak at a hearing, a public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. Persons wishing to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendment may request a meeting by contacting the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, notices of meetings will be posted at the locations listed under **ADDRESSES**. A written summary of each meeting will be made a part of the Administrative Record.

IV. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12866

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 2 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based

solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 935

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: October 10, 1996.

Allen D. Klein,

Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 96-26776 Filed 10-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

30 CFR Part 935

[OH-239-FOR, #73]

Ohio Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: OSM is reopening the public comment period on a proposed amendment to the Ohio permanent regulatory program (hereinafter referred to as the "Ohio program") under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The proposed amendment consists of revisions to sections of the Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) dealing with surface mining operations on remaining areas. The amendment is intended to revise the Ohio program to be consistent with the Federal regulations as amended on November 27, 1995 (60 FR 58480).

DATES: Written comments must be received by 4:00 p.m., [E.D.T.] November 4, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and requests to speak at the hearing should be mailed or hand delivered to George Rieger, Field Branch Chief, at the address listed below.

Copies of the Ohio program, the proposed amendment, a listing of any scheduled public hearings, and all written comments received in response to this document will be available for public review at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Each requester may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM's Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

George Rieger, Field Branch Chief,
Appalachian Regional Coordinating
Center, Office of Surface Mining
Reclamation and Enforcement, 3
Parkway Center, Pittsburgh PA 15220,
Telephone: (412) 937-2153
Ohio Division of Mines and
Reclamation, 1855 Fountain Square
Court, Columbus, Ohio 43244,
Telephone: (614) 265-1076.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Rieger, Field Branch Chief, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center, Telephone: (412) 937-2153.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background on the Ohio Program

On August 16, 1982, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Ohio program. Background information