

(lat. 39°16'46" N., long. 94°35'28" W.)

**DOTTE LOM**

(lat. 39°13'15" N., long. 94°45'00" W.)

**Riverside VOR/DME**

(lat. 39°07'14" N., long. 94°35'48" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6-mile radius of the Kansas City Downtown Airport and within 3 miles each side of the 210° radial of the Riverside VOR/DME extending from the 6-mile radius to 12.6 miles southwest of the Downtown Airport, and within a 6.5-mile radius of the Sherman AAF and within a 6-mile radius of the Kansas City International Airport, and within 4.4 miles each side of the Kansas City International Runway 19 ILS localizer north course extending from the 6-mile radius to 21.7 miles north of the DOTTE LOM, and within 4.4 miles each side of the 096° radial of the Kansas City VORTAC extending from the Kansas City International Airport 6-mile radius to 5 miles east of the Kansas City VORTAC, and within 2.5 miles each side of the Kansas City International Runway 1 ILS localizer south course extending from the 6-mile radius to 9.3 miles south of the DOTTE LOM.

\* \* \* \* \*

**ACE KS E5 Dodge City, KS**

**Dodge City Regional Airport, KS**

(lat. 37°45'44" N., long. 99°57'54" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5-mile radius of Dodge City Regional Airport.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Kansas City, MO, on March 1, 1996.

Christopher R. Blum,

*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region.*

[FR Doc. 96-7296 Filed 3-25-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Food and Drug Administration**

**21 CFR Part 101**

[Docket No. 95N-0103]

**Food Labeling; Nutrient Content Claims and Health Claims; Special Requirements; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA), is correcting a proposed rule that appeared in the Federal Register of February 2, 1996 (61 FR 3885). The document proposed to require that, in certain circumstances, persons responsible for the labeling of foods with nutrient content and health claims maintain records that support the claims, and that they make those

records available to appropriate regulatory officials upon request. The document was published with some errors. This document corrects those errors.

**DATES:** Written comments by April 17, 1996; except that comments regarding information collection requirements by March 4, 1996, but not later than April 2, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** F. Edward Scarbrough, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-150), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-205-4561.

In FR Doc. 96-2153, appearing on page 3885 in the Federal Register of Friday, February 2, 1996, the following corrections are made: On page 3888, in the third column, in footnote 1, in line 3, the second comma after "7" is removed; in the fourth line from the bottom, "FTS" is corrected to read "FTC"; and in the third line from the bottom, the phrase "F.2d 189, 193 (D.C. Cir. 1986), cert. denied, 479 U.S. 1086" is added after "791".

Dated: March 18, 1996.

William K. Hubbard,

*Associate Commissioner for Policy Coordination.*

[FR Doc. 96-7173 Filed 3-25-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4160-01-F**

**PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION**

**29 CFR Part 2615**

**RIN 1212-AA77**

**Reportable Events Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee; Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the time and place of the next meeting of the Reportable Events Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

**DATES:** The Committee will meet at 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, April 10, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the PBGC's offices at 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005-4026.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General Counsel, or James L. Beller, Attorney, Office of the General Counsel, PBGC, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005-4026, 202-326-4024 (202-326-4179 for TTY and TTD).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On October 5, 1995, the PBGC announced the establishment of the Reportable Events Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee (60 FR 52135). The ground rules for the Committee state that the deadline for negotiations, unless extended by the PBGC, is March 29, 1996. At the Committee's most recent meeting, held on March 13, 1996, the PBGC extended the deadline for negotiations to April 30, 1996.

The Committee agreed to meet again on April 10, 1996. The agenda for the April meeting includes reports from working groups and preparation of a consensus recommendation for the PBGC. The meeting will be open to the public.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 22 day of March, 1996.

Martin Slate,

*Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.*

[FR Doc. 96-7378 Filed 3-25-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7708-01-P-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement**

**30 CFR Part 931**

[SPATS No. NM-037-FOR]

**New Mexico Regulatory Program**

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing on proposed amendment.

**SUMMARY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the New Mexico regulatory program (hereinafter, the "New Mexico program") under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The proposed amendment consists of revisions to or additions of rules pertaining to permit application contents for contour maps of the permit area and operations exclusively under reclamation, permit approval or denial, contents of bond release applications, timeliness of backfilling and grading, approval of small depressions, performance standards for all roads and primary roads, and blaster examination and certification requirements. The amendment is intended to revise the New Mexico program to incorporate the additional flexibility afforded by the revised Federal regulations, as

amended, and improve operational efficiency.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by 4:00 p.m., m.d.t., April 25, 1996. If requested, a public hearing on the proposed amendment will be held on April 22, 1996. Requests to present oral testimony at the hearing must be received by 4:00 p.m., m.d.t., on April 10, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be mailed or hand delivered to Guy Padgett at the address listed below.

Copies of the New Mexico program, the proposed amendment, and all written comments received in response to this document will be available for public review at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Each requester may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM's Albuquerque Field Office.

Guy Padgett, Director, Albuquerque Field Office, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 505 Marquette Avenue, NW., Suite 1200, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
Mining and Minerals Division, New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department, 2040 South Pacheco Street, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505.  
Telephone: (505) 827-5970

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Guy Padgett, Telephone: (505) 248-5081.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background on the New Mexico Program**

On December 31, 1980, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the New Mexico program. General background information on the New Mexico program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval of the New Mexico program can be found in the December 31, 1980, Federal Register (45 FR 86459). Subsequent actions concerning New Mexico's program and program amendments can be found at 30 CFR 931.11, 931.15, 931.16, and 931.30.

**II. Proposed Amendment**

By letter dated March 11, 1996, New Mexico submitted a proposed amendment to its program pursuant to SMCRA (administrative record No. NM-773, 30 U.S.C. 1201 *et seq.*). New Mexico submitted the proposed amendment at its own initiative. The provisions of the Coal Surface Mining Commission (CSMC) rules that New Mexico proposes to revise or add are:

CSMC Rule 80-1-5-23(a), concerning general requirements for permit

applications, by adding a reference to Part 15 for mines exclusively under reclamation;

CSMC Rule 80-1-8-25(k), concerning contour maps with a maximum of 5 foot contour intervals in permit applications, by deleting specific requirements at CSMC Rule 80-1-8-25(k) (1) through (3) for showing the existing land surface configuration for the proposed affected areas and adding the requirement that the existing land surface configuration be shown for the proposed permit area;

CSMC Rule 80-1-11-19(c), concerning criteria for permit approval or denial, by adding the word "hydrological" to the phrase "probable cumulative hydrological impacts" and the acronym "(CHIA)" following the phrase;

CSMC Rule 80-1-14-40(a), concerning bond release applications, by adding a new paragraph (a)(2) which summarizes the minimum contents of an application for a bond release and revising recodified paragraph (a)(3) to delete a bond release application content requirement that is now part of new paragraph (a)(2);

CSMC Rule 80-1-15, concerning minimum requirements for permit applications for coal mining operations exclusively under reclamation, by adding a new Part 15 which consists of requirements for: general information at 15-11, information concerning identification of interests at 15-12(a) through (j), compliance information at 15-13(a) through (d), right of entry and operation information at 15-14(a) and (b), permit term information at 15-15(a) and (b), personal injury and property damage insurance information at 15-16, identification of other licenses and permits at 15-17(a) through (d), identification of location of public office for filing of application at 15-18, newspaper advertisement and proof of publication at 15-19, general environmental resources information at 15-20(a) through (f), the operation plan at 15-21(a) and (b), the fish and wildlife plan at 15-22(a) and (b), the reclamation plan including protection of the hydrologic balance, postmining land uses, and ponds, impoundments, banks, dams, and embankments, at respectively, 15-23(a) and (b), 15-24(a) through (e), 15-25(a) and (b), and 15-26(a) through (e), and protection of public parks and historic places at 15-27;

CSMC 80-1-20-101(a), concerning backfilling and grading requirements for contour mining, open pit mining, and strip mining, by deleting from 20-101(a)(1) through (3) all time limits by which backfilling and grading must commence so that the existing distance

limits are the only measure of when backfilling and grading must commence, and replacing the term "area strip mining" with the term "strip mining" at 20-101(a)(3);

CSMC 80-1-20-102(c), concerning backfilling and grading to create small depressions, to add the allowance for New Mexico to approval small depressions if they create and enhance wildlife habitat;

CSMC 80-1-20-150(b), concerning performance standards for all roads, to delete the requirement at 20-150(b)(9) that all roads have, at a minimum, a static safety factor of 1.3 for all embankments;

CSMC 80-1-20-151(a), concerning performance standards for primary roads, to add at 20-151(a)(5) the requirement that all primary roads have a static factor of safety of 1.3, at a minimum, for all embankments; and

CSMC 80-1-33-14 and 80-1-33-15, concerning examination and certification for blasters, by deleting the existing requirements and replacing them with new requirements for (1) examination at 33-14(a) and (b) that include a written exam to demonstrate competence and a minimum of one year of practical field experience and (2) certification at 33-15(a) through (e) that include requirements for certification every four years, suspension and revocation of certification, recertification (by reexamination, training, and demonstration), protection of certification, and conditions for maintaining certification.

**III. Public Comment Procedures**

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is seeking comments on whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendment is deemed adequate, it will become part of the New Mexico program.

**1. Written Comments**

Written comments should be specific, pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of the commenter's recommendations. Comments received after the time indicated under **DATES** or at locations other than the Albuquerque Field Office will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the administrative record.

**2. Public Hearing**

Persons wishing to testify at the public hearing should contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by 4:00 p.m.,

m.d.t., on April 10, 1996. Any disabled individual who has need for a special accommodation to attend a public hearing should contact the individual listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. The location and time of the hearing will be arranged with those persons requesting the hearing. If no one requests an opportunity to testify at the public hearing, the hearing will not be held.

Filing of a written statement at the time of the hearing is requested as it will greatly assist the transcriber. Submission of written statements in advance of the hearing will allow OSM officials to prepare adequate responses and appropriate questions.

The public hearing will continue on the specified date until all persons scheduled to testify have been heard. Persons in the audience who have not been scheduled to testify, and who wish to do so, will be heard following those who have been scheduled. The hearing will end after all persons scheduled to testify and persons present in the audience who wish to testify have been heard.

### 3. Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to testify at a hearing, a public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. Persons wishing to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendment may request a meeting by contacting the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, notices of meetings will be posted at the locations listed under **ADDRESSES**. A written summary of each meeting will be made a part of the administrative record.

## IV. Procedural Determinations

### 1. Executive Order 12866

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

### 2. Executive Order 12778

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 2 of Executive Order 12778 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of

SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

### 3. National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

### 4. Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

### 5. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The State submittal that is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OMS will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

#### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 931

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: March 19, 1996.

Russell F. Price,

*Acting Regional Director, Western Regional Coordinating Center.*

[FR Doc. 96-7288 Filed 3-25-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 100

[CGD07-96-015]

RIN 2115-AE46

#### Special Local Regulations; Harborwalk Boat Race, Sampit River, Georgetown, SC

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to establish permanent special local regulations for the Harborwalk Boat Race. This event held annually on the last Sunday of June, between 12 p.m. and 5:30 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time. Historically, there have been approximately sixty participants racing 14 to 20 foot outboard power boats on a prescribed course on a portion of the Sampit River, Georgetown, SC. The nature of the event and the closure of the Sampit River creates an extra or unusual hazard in the navigable waters. These proposed regulations are necessary to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event. By establishing these proposed permanent regulations, the Coast Guard expects to give better notice of requirements related to marine events, and also avoid the recurring costs of publication related with temporary regulations. However, the establishment of these proposed permanent regulations would not relieve the event organizers from applying for an annual marine event permit.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before May 28, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Commander, U.S. Coast Guard Group Charleston, 196 Tradd Street, Charleston, SC 29401, or may be delivered to operations office at the same address between 7:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. The telephone number is (803) 724-7621. Comments will become a part of the public docket and will be available for copying and inspection at the same address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** ENS M. J. DaPonte, Coast Guard Group Charleston at (803) 724-7621.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Request for Comment

The Coast Guard encourages interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written views, data, or arguments. Persons submitting comments should include their names,