and use. OSM interprets section 720 and the implementing rules as not requiring the replacement of water supplies to the extent underground mining activities consume or legitimately use the water supply under a senior water right determined under applicable State law. See In re Permanent Surface Mining Regulation Litigation II, Round III, 620 F. Supp. 1519, 1525 (D.C.D.C. 1985). However, OSM believes that section 717(a) concerns rights under State water law to consumption or use of water, and was not intended to address destruction or damage of the source of water, or contamination of water supply. Thus, OSM anticipates that underground mining activities which cause destruction or damage of a water supply source, or contamination of a water supply, would be subject to the replacement requirements of section 720 even if the permittee possessed senior water rights.

(60 FR 16722, 16733).

Two commenters indicated that, in a proceeding before the Board on Oil, Gas and Mining concerning alleged diminution and contamination by a Utah mining operation of a water source, the Division was unwilling to enforce the water replacement requirements of section 720(a) of SMCRA (Administrative Record Nos. UT-1047, 1048, and 1050). These commenters, and one other person (Administrative Record No. UT-1050), stated that the Division had not fully enforced the water protection provisions of the Utah program. One of the commenters recommended a number of changes in the implementation of the Utah program and indicated that, until these changes were made, OSM should conduct oversight Utah's implementation of the ground-water protection provisions of the Utah program and, if necessary, directly enforce water resources protection provisions in Utah. The other commenter recommended, at a minimum, joint Division and OSM enforcement of the Energy Policy Act requirements, or direct Federal enforcement. OSM acknowledges these comments and took them into consideration in making the decision set forth below.

One commenter stated that, to the best of his knowledge, Utah does not conduct any monitoring of the hydrological consequences of a mine after it has been permitted to determine whether the mine is affecting the hydrologic balance as predicted in the permit (Administrative Record No. UT–1050). In response to this statement, the Division indicated that, during the operation of a mine, it does reevaluate the hydrologic impact conclusions made at the permitting stage in light of monitoring data collected during the

mine's operation (Administrative Record No. UT–1050).

Regional Director's decision. Prior to the Regional Director making this decision on which enforcement alternative should be implemented in Utah, the Albuquerque Field Office, on May 1 and 31, and June 5, 1995, consulted with Utah in accordance with 30 CFR 843.25(a)(4) (Administrative Record No. UT–1058).

The majority of Utah mines have operated after October 24, 1992, and are subject to the provisions of section 720(a) of SMCRA and the implementing Federal regulations. Although Utah has implemented its regulatory program provisions concerning hydrologic information and hydrologic balance and is committed to the investigation and resolution of citizens' concerns regarding water sources, there are, as is documented in the written record of the public hearing, current concerns and potential for additional complaints regarding the loss, contamination, or diminution of water sources that serve large populations in the coal producing counties in Utah. The mid-1996 projection for promulgating statutory and regulatory State program provisions for water replacement is in keeping with usual timeframes for enactment of legislation and revision of regulations.

The Field Office and Utah agreed that Utah should be the primary enforcer of its State program provisions for subsidence-caused material damage to noncommercial buildings and to occupied dwellings and related structures and for drinking, domestic, and residential water supplies adversely affected by underground coal mining. However, the Field Office found that it is unclear that the water supply protections of section 720(a)(2) of SMCRA and 30 CFR 817.41(j) can be implemented by Utah in all cases. Therefore, the Field Office concluded that, if a situation arises in which Utah's enforcement role as primary enforcer does not appear to fully meet the water replacement requirements of section 720(a)(2) of SMCRA, OSM must take direct Federal enforcement.

On this basis and the disposition of the comments received, the Regional Director decides that initial enforcement of the underground coal mine subsidence control and water replacement requirements in Utah will occur through State enforcement and, if necessary, direct Federal enforcement of the water replacement requirements of section 720(a)(2) of SMCRA and 30 CFR 817.41(j).

If circumstances within Utah change significantly, the Regional Director may reassess this decision. Formal reassessment of this decision would be addressed by **Federal Register** notice.

Dated: July 19, 1995.

Russell F. Price,

Acting Regional Director, Western Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 95–18441 Filed 7–26–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

30 CFR Parts 915, 916, and 925

Iowa, Kansas, and Missouri Regulatory Programs

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

ACTION: Notice of decision.

SUMMARY: OSM is announcing its decision on initial enforcement of underground coal mine subsidence control and water replacement requirements in Iowa, Kansas, and Missouri. Amendments to the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA) and the implementing Federal regulations require that underground coal mining operations conducted after October 24, 1992: promptly repair or compensate for subsidence-caused material damage to noncommercial buildings and to occupied dwellings and related structures and promptly replace drinking, domestic, and residential water supplies that have been adversely affected by underground coal mining. After consultation with Iowa, Kansas, and Missouri and consideration of public comments, OSM has decided that initial enforcement is not reasonably likely to be required and that implementation in these States will be accomplished through the State program amendment process.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 27, 1995. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael C. Wolfrom, Acting Director, Kansas City Field Office, Telephone: (816) 374–6405.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. The Energy Policy Act

Section 2504 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, Pub. L. 102–486, 106 Stat. 2776 (1992) added new section 720 to SMCRA. Section 720(a)(1) requires that all underground coal mining operations promptly repair or compensate for subsidence-caused material damage to noncommercial buildings and to occupied residential dwellings and related structures. Repair of damage includes rehabilitation, restoration, or replacement of the structures identified in section 720(a)(1), and compensation

must be provided to the owner in the full amount of the reduction in value of the damaged structures as a result of subsidence. Section 720(a)(2) requires prompt replacement of certain identified water supplies if those supplies have been adversely affected by underground coal mining operations.

These provisions requiring prompt repair or compensation for damage to structures, and prompt replacement of water supplies, went into effect upon passage of the Energy Policy Act on October 24, 1992. As a result, underground coal mine permittees in States with OSM-approved regulatory programs are required to comply with these provisions for operations conducted after October 24, 1992.

B. The Federal Regulations Implementing the Energy Policy Act

On March 31, 1995, OSM promulgated regulations at 30 CFR Part 817 (60 FR 16722) to implement the performance standards of sections 720(a) (1) and (2) of SMCRA.

30 CFR 817.121(c)(2) requires in part

The permittee must promptly repair, or compensate the owner for, material damage resulting from subsidence caused to any noncommercial building or occupied residential dwelling or structure related thereto that existed at the time of mining. * * * The requirements of this paragraph apply only to subsidence-related damage caused by underground mining activities conducted after October 24, 1992.

30 CFR 817.41(j) requires in part that:

The permittee must promptly replace any drinking, domestic or residential water supply that is contaminated, diminished or interrupted by underground mining activities conducted after October 24, 1992, if the affected well or spring was in existence before the date the regulatory authority received the permit application for the activities causing the loss, contamination or interruption.

Alternative OSM enforcement decisions. 30 CFR 843.25 provides that by July 31, 1995, OSM will decide, after consultation with each State regulatory authority with an approved program, how enforcement of the new requirements will be accomplished. As discussed in the April 6, 1995, Federal Register (60 FR 17504) and as reiterated below, enforcement could be accomplished by State, OSM, or joint State and OSM enforcement of the requirements, or by a State after it has amended its program.

(1) State program amendment process. If the State's promulgation or regulatory provisions that are counterpart to 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) is imminent, the number

and extent of underground mines that have operated in the State since October 24, 1992, is low, the number of complaints in the State concerning section 720 of SMCRA is low, or the State's investigation of subsidencerelated complaints has been thorough and complete so as to assure prompt remedial action, then OSM could decide not to directly enforce the Federal provisions in the State. In this situation, the State would enforce its State statutory and regulatory provisions once it has amended its program to be in accordance with the revised SMCRA and to be consistent with the revised Federal regulations. This program revision process, which is addressed in the Federal regulations at 30 CFR Part 732, is commonly referred to as the State program amendment process.

(2) State enforcement. If the State has statutory or regulatory provisions in place that correspond to all of the requirements of the above-described Federal regulations at 30 CFR 817.41(j) and $817.1\overline{21}(c)(2)$ and the State has authority to implement its statutory and regulatory provisions for all underground mining activities conducted after October 24, 1992, then the State would enforce its provisions

for these operations.

(3) Interim direct OSM enforcement. If the State does not have any statutory or regulatory provisions in place that correspond to the requirements of the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2), then OSM would enforce in their entirety 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) for all underground mining activities conducted in the State after October 24, 1992

(4) State and OSM enforcement. If the State has statutory or regulatory provisions in place that correspond to some but not all of the requirements of the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) and the State has authority to implement its provisions for all underground mining activities conducted after October 24, 1992, then the State would enforce its provisions for these operations. OSM would then enforce those provisions of 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) that are not covered by the State provisions for these operations.

If the State has statutory or regulatory provisions in place that correspond to some but not all of the requirements of the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 817.41(j) and \$17.121(c)(2) and if the State's authority to enforce its provisions applies to operations conducted on or after some date later than October 24, 1992, the State would enforce its provisions for these

operations on and after the provisions' effective date. OSM would then enforce 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) to the extent the State statutory and regulatory provisions do not include corresponding provisions applicable to all underground mining activities conducted after October 24, 1992; and OSM would enforce those provisions of 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) that are included in the State program but are not enforceable back to October 24, 1992, for the time period from October 24, 1992, until the effective date of the State's rules.

As described in items (3) and (4) above, OSM could directly enforce in total or in part the applicable Federal regulatory provisions until the State adopts and OSM approves under 30 CFR Part 732, the State's counterparts to the required provisions. However, as discussed in item (1) above, OSM could decide not to initiate direct Federal enforcement but rather to rely instead on the 30 CFR Part 732 State program amendment process.

In those situations where OSM determined that direct Federal enforcement was necessary, the ten-day notice provisions of 30 CFR 843.12(a)(2) would not apply. That is, when on he basis of a Federal inspection OSM determined that a violation of 30 CFR 817.41(j) or 817.121(c)(2) existed, OSM would issue a notice of violation or cessation order without first sending a ten-day notice to the State.

Also under direct Federal enforcement, the provisions of 30 CFR 817.121(c)(4) would apply. This regulation states that if damage to any noncommercial building or occupied residential dwelling or structure related thereto occurs as a result of earth movement within an area determined by projecting a specified angle of draw from the outermost boundary of any underground mine workings to the surface of the land (normally a 30 degree angle of draw), a rebuttable presumption exists that the permittee caused the damage.

Lastly, under direct Federal enforcement, OSM would also implement the new definitions at 30 CFR 701.5 of "drinking, domestic or residential water supply," "material damage," "non-commercial building," "occupied dwelling and structures related thereto," and "replacement of water supply" that were adopted with the new underground mining performance standards.

OSM would enforce 30 CFR 817.41(j), 817.121(c)(2) and (4), and implement the definitions at 30 CFR 701.5 for operations conducted after October 24, 1992.

C. Enforcement in Iowa

Iowa Program Activity, Requirements, and Enforcement

By letter to Iowa dated December 14, 1994, OSM requested information from Iowa that would help OSM decide which approach to take in Iowa to implement the requirements of section 720(a) of SMCRA, the implementing Federal regulations, and/or the counterpart Iowa program requirements (Administrative Record No. IA–413). Iowa did not respond to this request.

OSM determined that Iowa has not revised its statute to incorporate counterparts to the requirements of section 720 of SMCRA.

On May 9, 1995, OSM confirmed with Iowa that no underground coal mines have operated in Iowa after October 24, 1992, and that there is no underground mining activity proposed in the State (Administrative Record No. IA–418). At that time, OSM also discussed whether the State has counterparts to the implementing Federal regulations.

Iowa has not revised its regulations to incorporate counterparts to the Federal regulations implementing the SMCRA provisions. OSM's review of Iowa's regulations indicates that (1) at Iowa Administrative Code (IAC) 27–40.64(207), Iowa incorporated 30 CFR 817.41 as it existed on July 1, 1992, and (2) at IAC 27–40.64(6), Iowa incorporated 30 CFR 817.121(c)(2) as it existed on July 1, 1992, except the phrase "To the extent required under applicable provisions of State law."

Towa has not proposed a schedule to OSM for when it will revise its program to be no less stringent than SMCRA and no less effective than the Federal regulations.

Comments. On April 6, 1995, OSM published in the Federal Register (60 FR 17504) notice of opportunity for a public hearing and a request for public comment to assist OSM in making its decision on how the underground coal mine subsidence control and water replacement requirements should be implemented in Iowa (Administrative Record No. IA-415). The comment period closed on May 8, 1995. Because OSM did not receive a request for a public hearing, OSM did not hold one. OSM received comments from one party in response to its notice (Administrative Record No. IA–419). These comments apply not only to the Iowa program but also to the Kansas and Missouri programs that are addressed below (Administrative Record Nos. KS-598 and MO-632).

The party commented that the enforcement alternatives incorporating total or partial direct interim Federal

enforcement (items (3) and (4) in section B. above) have no statutory basis in SMCRA and are not consistent with Congress' intent in creating section 720 of SMCRA. The party also commented that the waiving of ten-day notice procedures in implementing direct Federal enforcement is not consistent with Federal case law. OSM does not agree with the commenter's assertions, and it addressed similar comments in the March 31, 1995, Federal Register (60 FR 16722, 16742-16745). These concerns about direct Federal enforcement are moot issues for these States because the Regional Director has decided, as set forth below, not to implement an enforcement alternative including direct Federal enforcement.

Regional Director's decision. Prior to the Regional Director making this decision on which enforcement alternative should be implemented in Iowa, the Kansas City Field Office on May 9, 1995, consulted with Iowa in accordance with 30 CFR 843.25(a)(4) (Administrative Record No. IA-418). Because there has been no underground mining activity since October 24, 1992, and there is no underground mining activity proposed in the State, the Field Office and Iowa agreed that it is unlikely that any State or Federal enforcement would be necessary in the State during the interim period between October 24, 1992, and the date by which Iowa revises its program in accordance with SMCRA and the Federal regulations.

On this basis and the disposition of the comments received, the Regional Director decides that initial enforcement of the underground coal mine subsidence control and water replacement requirements in Iowa is not reasonably likely to be required and that implementation will be accomplished through the State program amendment process. In the near future, and in accordance with 30 CFR 732.17(d), OSM intends to notify Iowa of the specific revisions that it must make to its regulatory program to be no less stringent than SMCRA and no less effective than the implementing Federal regulations.

If circumstances within Iowa change significantly, the Regional Director may reassess this decision. Formal reassessment of this decision would be addressed by **Federal Register** notice.

D. Enforcement in Kansas

Kansas Program Activity, Requirements, and Enforcement

By letter to Kansas dated December 14, 1994, OSM requested information from Kansas that would help OSM decide which approach to take in Kansas to implement the requirements of section 720(a) of SMCRA, the implementing Federal regulations, and/or the counterpart Kansas program requirements (Administrative Record No. KS–594). By letter dated February 3, 1995, Kansas responded to OSM's request (Administrative Record No. KS–595).

Kansas stated that no underground coal mines were operating in Kansas after October 24, 1992, and that there is no underground mining activity proposed in the State.

OSM has determined that Kansas has not revised its statute to incorporate counterparts to the requirements of section 720(a) of SMCRA. Although not specifically stated, Kansas' letter implies that the provisions can be implemented in the State program through the promulgation of regulations.

Kansas indicated that at Kansas Administrative Regulations (KAR) 47–9–1(d)(40), it adopted 30 CFR 817.121 as it existed on July 1, 1990, and was in the process of promulgating regulations adopting 30 CFR 817.121 as it was written on July 1, 1992. Kansas stated that this revised regulation will authorize the repair of structural damage caused by subsidence in accordance with section 720(a)(1) of SMCRA as it existed on December 31, 1993.

Kansas further indicated that it has the authority to investigate complaints concerning water loss through the material damage criteria of KAR 47-9-1(d)(40), which adopts by reference 30 CFR 817.121(a), and through its hydrologic balance regulations at KAR 47-9-1(d)(7), which adopts by reference 30 CFR 817.41. It further stated that any drinking, domestic, or residential water supply, or other beneficial use as defined by the Kansas Water Appropriations Act, which is impaired by diversion or is otherwise impaired, would have to be replaced according to Kansas Statutes Annotated (KSA) 82a-706b. Lastly, Kansas stated that any waters of the state whose quality is adversely impacted will have to be cleaned up at the owner's expense as provided for in KSA 65-171 et seq.

Kansas concluded that the abovediscussed regulations and statutes adequately encompass the requirements of section 720(a) of SMCRA.

Kansas made these statements about the effectiveness of its regulations on February 3, 1995, prior to the publication of the Federal regulations on March 31, 1995. On May 5, 1995, after Kansas had an opportunity to review the new Federal regulations, OSM discussed with Kansas the Federal requirements and whether Kansas still believed that its regulations contained the necessary counterparts to the Federal regulations (Administrative Record No. KS–597). At that time, it concluded that it did not.

Kansas indicated that it is under a moratorium for promulgating new regulations under its State rulemaking process, but that it will propose new regulations that are counterparts to the Federal regulations at the first opportunity to do so. Such new regulations could not be expected to be promulgated until 1997 or 1998.

Comments. On April 6, 1995, OSM published in the Federal Register (60 FR 17504) notice of opportunity for a public hearing and a request for public comment to assist OSM in making its decision on how the underground coal mine subsidence control and water replacement requirements should be implemented in Kansas (Administrative Record No. KS-596). The comment period closed on May 8, 1995. Because OSM did not receive a request for a public hearing, OSM did not hold one. The comments discussed above for the Iowa program, and OSM's responses to them, also apply to the Kansas program.

Regional Director's decision. Prior to the Regional Director making this decision on which enforcement alternative should be implemented in Kansas, the Kansas City Field Office on May 5, 1995, consulted with Kansas in accordance with 30 CFR 843.25(a)(4) (Administrative Record No. KS-597). Because there has been no underground mining activity since October 24, 1992, and there is no underground mining activity proposed in the State, the Field Office and Kansas agreed that it is unlikely that any State or Federal enforcement would be necessary in the State during the interim period between October 24, 1992, and the date by which Kansas revises its program in accordance with SMCRA and the Federal regulations.

On this basis and the disposition of the comments received, the Regional Director decides that initial enforcement of the underground coal mine subsidence control and water replacement requirements in Kansas is not reasonably likely to be required and that implementation will be accomplished through the State program amendment process. In the near future, and in accordance with 30 CFR 732.17(d), OSM intends to notify Kansas of the specific revisions that it must make to its regulatory program to be no less stringent than SMCRA and no less effective than the implementing Federal regulations.

If circumstances within Kansas change significantly, the Regional Director may reassess this decision. Formal reassessment of this decision would be addressed by **Federal Register** notice.

E. Enforcement in Missouri

Missouri Program Activity, Requirements, and Enforcement

By letter to Missouri dated December 14, 1994, OSM requested information from Missouri that would help OSM decide which approach to take in Missouri to implement the requirements of section 720(a) of SMCRA, the implementing Federal regulations, and/or the counterpart Missouri program provisions (Administrative Record No. MO–619). By letter dated February 16, 1995, Missouri responded to OSM's request (Administrative Record No. MO–620).

Missouri stated that the subsidence plan permitting requirements at 10 Missouri Code of State Regulations (CSR) 40-6.120(11) and the performance standards for subsidence control at 10 CSR 40-3.280 generally correspond to the requirements of section 720(a)(1) of SMCRA. In these regulations, Missouri requires the permit applicant to submit a plan detailing steps to prevent subsidence damage or mitigate effects of that damage to "structures or renewable resource lands." Missouri interprets "structures" to broadly mean any building, whether commercial or noncommercial and whether occupied or unoccupied, and it defines "renewable resource lands" as "aguifers and areas for the recharge of aquifers and other underground waters, areas for agricultural or silviculture production for food and fiber, and grazing lands.'

Missouri also stated that the underground mining permit requirements for alternate water supply at 10 CSR 40–6.110(8) and protection of hydrologic balance requirements at 10 CSR 40–6.120(5)(B)3., together with the performance requirements for water rights replacement at 10 CSR 40–3.200(14), generally correspond to section 720(a)(2) of SMCRA.

Missouri indicated that all of the above-discussed regulations have effective dates preceding October 24, 1992, and appear to provide Missouri authority to enforce the provisions of section 720 of SMCRA.

On May 10, 1995, OSM confirmed with Missouri that no underground coal mines have operated in Missouri after October 24, 1992, and there is no underground mining activity proposed in the State (Administrative Record No.

MO-631).

Missouri indicated that it would propose regulation revisions that are intended to be no less effective than the Federal regulations in the next amendment that it submits to OSM.

Comments. On April 6, 1995, OSM published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 17504) notice of opportunity for a public hearing and a request for public comment to assist OSM in making its decision on how the underground coal mine subsidence control and water replacement requirements should be implemented in Missouri (Administrative Record No. MO-628). The comment period closed on May 8, 1995. Because OSM did not receive a request for a public hearing, OSM did not hold one. The comments discussed above for the Iowa program, and OSM's response to them, also apply to the Missouri program.

Regional Director's decision. Prior to the Regional Director making this decision on which enforcement alternative should be implemented in Missouri, the Kansas City Field Office on May 10, 1995, consulted with Missouri in accordance with 30 CFR 843.25(a)(4) (Administrative Record No. MO-631). Because there has been no underground mining activity since October 24, 1992, and there is no underground mining activity proposed in the State, the Field Office and Missouri agree that it is unlikely that any Federal or State enforcement would be necessary in the State during the interim period between October 24, 1992, and the date by which Missouri revises its program in accordance with SMCRA and the Federal regulations.

On this basis and the disposition of the comments received, the Regional Director decides that initial enforcement of the underground coal mine subsidence control and water replacement requirements in Missouri is not reasonably likely to be required and that implementation will be accomplished through the State program amendment process. In the near future, and in accordance with 30 CFR 732.17(d), OSM intends to notify Missouri of the specific revisions that it must make to its regulatory program to be no less stringent than SMCRA and no less effective than the implementing Federal regulations.

If circumstances within Missouri change significantly, the Regional Director may reassess this decision. Formal reassessment of this decision would be addressed by **Federal Register** notice.

Dated: July 19, 1995.

Russell F. Price,

Acting Regional Director, Western Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 95–18442 Filed 7–26–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

30 CFR Part 935

Ohio Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

ACTION: Notice of decision.

SUMMARY: OSM is announcing its decision on initial enforcement of underground coal mine subsidence control and water replacement requirements in Ohio. Amendments to the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA) and the implementing Federal regulations require that underground coal mining conducted after October 24, 1992: promptly repair or compensate for subsidence-caused material damage to noncommercial buildings and to occupied residential dwellings and related structures and promptly replace drinking, domestic, and residential water supplies that have been adversely affected by underground coal mining. After consultation with Ohio and consideration of public comments, OSM has decided that initial enforcement in Ohio will be accomplished through State enforcement.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 27, 1995. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Beverly C. Brock, Acting Director,
Columbus Field Office, Office of Surface
Mining Reclamation and Enforcement,
Eastland Professional Plaza, 4480
Refugee Road, 2nd Floor, Columbus,
Ohio 43232, Telephone: (614) 866–0578.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. The Energy Policy Act

Section 2504 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, Pub. L. 102-486, 106 Stat. 2776 (1992) added new section 720 to SMCRA. Section 720(a)(1) requires that all underground coal mining operations promptly repair or compensate for subsidence-caused material damage to noncommercial buildings and to occupied residential dwellings and related structures. Repair of damage includes rehabilitation, restoration, or replacement of the structures identified in section 720(a)(1), and compensation must be provided to the owner in the full amount of the reduction in value of the damaged structures as a result of subsidence. Section 720(a)(2) requires

prompt replacement of certain identified water supplies if those supplies have been adversely affected by underground coal mining operations.

These provisions requiring prompt repair or compensation for damage to structures, and prompt replacement of water supplies, went into effect upon passage of the Energy Policy Act on October 24, 1992. As a result, underground coal mine permittees in States with OSM-approved regulatory programs are required to comply with these provisions for operations conducted after October 24, 1992.

B. The Federal Regulations Implementing the Energy Policy Act

On March 31, 1995, OSM promulgated regulations at 30 CFR Part 817 to implement the performance standards of sections 720(a) (1) and (2) of SMCRA (60 FR 16722).

30 CFR 817.121(c)(2) requires in part that:

The permittee must promptly repair, or compensate the owner for, material damage resulting from subsidence caused to any noncommercial building or occupied residential dwelling or structure related to thereto that existed at the time of mining. * * * The requirements of this paragraph apply only to subsidence-related damage caused by underground mining activities conducted after October 24, 1992.

30 CFR 817.41(j) requires in part that:

The permittee must promptly replace any drinking, domestic or residential water supply that is contaminated, diminished or interrupted by underground mining activities conducted after October 24, 1992, if the affected well or spring was in existence before the date the regulatory authority received the permit application for the activities causing the loss, contamination or interruption.

Alternative OSM Enforcement Decisions

30 CFR 843.25 provides that by July 31, 1995, OSM will decide, in consultation with each State regulatory authority with an approved program, how enforcement of the new requirements will be accomplished. As discussed in the April 7, 1995, **Federal Register** (60 FR 17741) and as reiterated below, enforcement could be accomplished through the 30 CFR Part 732 State program amendment process, or by State, OSM, or joint State and OSM enforcement of the requirements.

(1) State program amendment process. If the State's promulgation of regulatory provisions that are counterpart to 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) is imminent, the number and extent of underground mines that have operated in the State since October 24, 1992, is low, the number of complaints in the State concerning

section 720 of SMCRA is low, or the State's investigation of subsidencerelated complaints has been thorough and complete so as to assure prompt remedial action, then OSM could decide not to directly enforce the Federal provisions in the State. In this situation, the State would enforce its State statutory and regulatory provisions once it has amended its program to be in accordance with the revised SMCRA and to be consistent with the revised Federal regulations. This program revision process, which is addressed in the Federal regulations at 30 CFR Part 732, is commonly referred to as the State program amendment process.

(2) State enforcement. If the State has statutory or regulatory provisions in place that correspond to all of the requirements of the above-described Federal regulations at 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) and the State has authority to implement its statutory and regulatory provisions for all underground mining activities conducted after October 24, 1992, then the State would enforce its provisions

for these operations.

(3) Interim direct OSM enforcement. If the State does not have any statutory or regulatory provisions in place that correspond to the requirements of the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2), then OSM would enforce in their entirety 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) for all underground mining activities conducted in the State after October 24, 1992.

(4) State and OSM enforcement. If the State has statutory or regulatory provisions in place that correspond to some but not all of the requirements of the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) and the State has authority to implement its provisions for all underground mining activities conducted after October 24, 1992, then the State would enforce its provisions for these operations. OSM would then enforce those provisions of 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) that are not covered by the State provisions for these operations.

If the State has statutory or regulatory provisions in place that correspond to some but not all of the requirements of the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) and if the State's authority to enforce its provisions applies to operations conducted on or after some date later than October 24, 1992, the State would enforce its provisions for these operations on and after the provisions' effective date. OSM would then enforce 30 CFR 817.41(j) and 817.121(c)(2) to the extent the State statutory and